

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COLUMBIA DIVISION

In Re: The Seizure of Various Electronics ) MISC. NO. 3:24-mc-00839-CMC  
)

MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE JUDICIAL FORFEITURE ACTION

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(3)(A),<sup>1</sup> the United States moves for an order extending the time in which the United States is required to file a judicial forfeiture action seeking forfeiture of various electronics identified as One Lenovo Laptop, One Amazon Tablet, Four Cell Phones, Four Hard Drives, Four SD Cards, Two DVD-Rs and Nineteen Thumb Drives (the “Subject Properties”), which were previously seized by agents of the Homeland Security Investigations on August 5, 2024. The government seeks to extend the current filing deadline by 90 days, based upon the pendency of the related criminal investigation. The current deadline for filing a judicial forfeiture action is December 5, 2024. The proposed new deadline is March 5, 2025. Absent an extension, the United States will file a civil complaint for forfeiture in rem, which would be duplicative of anticipated criminal forfeiture proceedings, as referenced below.

In support of the motion, the United States would show the following:

---

<sup>1</sup>18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(3)(A), provides:

Not later than 90 days after a claim has been filed, the Government shall file a complaint for forfeiture in the manner set forth in the Supplemental Rules for Admiralty and Maritime Claims or return the property pending the filing of a complaint, except that a court in the district in which the complaint will be filed ***may extend the period for filing a complaint for good cause shown*** or upon agreement of the parties.

(Emphasis added.)

1. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(1)(A), the government provided the known potential claimant with written notice of the government's intention to administratively forfeit the Subject Properties. On September 6, 2024, Robert John May, III filed an administrative claim contesting forfeiture.
2. The Subject Properties are associated with a pending criminal investigation in this district being supervised by AUSA Scott Matthews. The undersigned has confirmed with AUSA Matthews, who anticipates the filing of a criminal Indictment within three months, and who advises that the Indictment will include provisions providing for the forfeiture of the Subject Properties pursuant to Rule 32.2, Fed.R.Crim.P. and 21 U.S.C. § 853. Such criminal forfeiture proceedings would likely make the filing of parallel civil forfeiture proceedings duplicative and unnecessary.
3. Robert John May, III has retained attorney Dayne Phillips of Columbia, South Carolina to represent him in this matter.
4. Attorney Phillips and his client, Robert John May, III, join, agree, and consent to the motion to extend the time to file a judicial forfeiture action.
5. The United States submits that avoiding multiple judicial forfeiture actions related to the same property constitutes "good cause" for an extension of the judicial filing deadline under 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(3)(A).

WHEREFORE, based upon the United States' motion to extend the filing date, the government respectfully requests that the Court extend the period in which the United States is required to file a judicial forfeiture action against the Subject Properties until March 5, 2025.

Respectfully Submitted,

ADAIR F. BOROUGHS  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

By: s/ Carrie Fisher Sherard  
Carrie Fisher Sherard #10134  
Assistant United States Attorney  
55 Beattie Place, Suite 700  
Greenville, SC 29601  
(864) 282-2100

October 24, 2024